## Arrest data

In Illinois, an **arrest** refers to the taking into custody a youth who is believed to have committed a delinquent act (705 *ILCS* 405/5-401). Once a youth is arrested, a **juvenile police officer** may:

- Charge the youth with an offense and refer him or her to the state's attorney's office for prosecution or to probation for **intake screening**.
- Initiate a formal or informal **station adjustment**. With use of station adjustment, the youth's case is not referred to the court for prosecution and the youth is released to a parent or guardian under specified conditions, such as obeying curfew, attending school, performing community service, and participating in social services. With an informal station adjustment, there is no admission of guilt by the minor. In a formal station adjustment, the youth admits to having been involved in the offense (705 *ILCS* 405/5-301).
- Release the youth without charging him or her.

Under the I-UCR program, all Illinois law enforcement agencies are required to report monthly offense and arrest data to the Illinois State Police. Since 1995 I-UCR program has only collected aggregate-level offense and arrest data from law enforcement agencies across the state. **Index offenses**, which include **property, violent, and drug crime index** offenses, in addition to supplemental data on domestic crimes, crimes against children, crimes against school personnel, and hate crimes. These aggregate totals combine offense data across gender, race, and age. Unfortunately, the collection of aggregate-level offense and arrest data prevents researchers from comparing offender characteristics by age and other important variables.

An alternate source for youth arrest data is Illinois' central repository for criminal history record information, ISP's Computerized Criminal History (CCH) system. The Criminal Identification Act (20 *ILCS* 2630/5) mandates that an arrest fingerprint card be submitted for all minors age 10 and over who have been arrested for an offense which would be a felony if committed by an adult, or one or both of two serious motor vehicle offenses—aggravated eluding of a police officer (625 *ILCS* 5/11-204.1), or driving under the influence (625 *ILCS* 5/11-501).

Fingerprint-based arrest cards for minors age 10 and over who have committed an offense that would be a class A or B misdemeanor if committed by an adult may be submitted to ISP, but are not required. Further, the Juvenile Justice Reform Provisions of 1998 mandated that ISP maintain a record of all station adjustments, both formal and informal, for offenses that would be a felony if committed by an adult. The reporting of station adjustments for misdemeanor offenses is optional.

The Authority, in cooperation with ISP, has established an in-house computer linkage to certain data elements of the CCH system's back-up database for research purposes. The Authority has begun to assess the quality of the juvenile criminal history record information contained in CCH and its suitability for research purposes.

Preliminary analyses conducted on yearly datasets (1999-2001) extracted from CCH focused on compliance with the new youth arrest reporting requirements. As with adult criminal history

records kept in CCH, which are audited periodically by the Authority, various reporting issues affect the quality of juvenile CCH data. For example, changes in reporting requirements, coupled with the advent of electronic reporting technology, such as the Livescan fingerprint recording system, led to a 217 percent increase in the total statewide volume of youth arrests reported to ISP from 1999 to 2001.

In 1999, prior to the reporting requirement changes, close to 40 percent of the largest police departments in the state were not submitting youth arrest cards to ISP. By 2001, close to 90 percent of all police departments in the most populated areas were reporting youth arrests. However, even though the percentage of jurisdictions reporting had increased, the volume of arrests expected in a given area, when using Census Bureau population estimates to create a rough benchmark, was found to be adequate in only 22 counties. In other words, while the number of jurisdictions reporting increased, the number of arrests reported was not as high as expected. Because of these data issues, arrest trends between 1999 and 2001 cannot be reliably calculated using CCH data.

Further, as with any data reporting system, the CCH data will always be limited to those events it is designed to capture, namely, arrests documented by an arrest fingerprint card submitted to ISP. Although these issues are challenges to the research utility of CCH, the data provided by CCH can potentially fill a gap that exists in the I-UCR program, particularly as youth arrest reporting practices increase and become more standardized across the state. The Authority, through its direct computer linkage with CCH, continues to monitor progress in this regard.

An additional limitation of arrest data collected through CCH is the lack of ethnic and demographic categories. Demographic information is collected by race, including white, black, Asian, and American Indian, but data on ethnicity, such as Hispanic, is not collected. The omission of ethnicity is a result of nationally standardized electronic reporting to the FBI, which does not include ethnicity. As a result, the race categories used by CCH may not be comparable to race categories used by detention, corrections and other agencies that include ethnicity in their race codes.

Another challenge juvenile data collection and analysis is that the number of juvenile arrests in any given time period may change due to arrest record expungements.

In light of these data quality issues, the data on youth arrests and the characteristics of those arrested should not be viewed as an absolute measure of youth crime in Illinois.

## **Data summary**


This research was conducted using arrest data collected in 2000 and later, when revisions to the Juvenile Court Act had been implemented and data reporting had improved with tighter requirements and use of electronic reporting technology.

From 2000 to 2005, overall youth arrests increased 30 percent, from 38,246 to 49,886. This count of arrests totals the number of fingerprint cards filed, rather than the unique number of youth arrested. Observed increases in youth arrests can be attributed in part to improved arrest data collection and entry rather than an increase in youth arrests or youth crime. Counties that

have a higher number of youth arrests may be counties in which local law enforcement agencies are fully complying with youth arrest reporting requirements or are also reporting misdemeanor arrests, which is encouraged, but not required.

In 2005, there were a total of 49,886 youth arrests in Illinois (*Figure 13*). Arrests for property offenses accounted for one-third of all youth arrests. Arrests for violent or person offenses accounted for 30 percent of all youth arrests and arrests for a drug offense accounted for 14 percent of all youth arrests. Arrests for weapons offenses accounted for 2 percent. Sex offenses accounted for 0.9 percent of all arrests. Offense categories—property, violent, drug, sex offenses—were created based on the Illinois Compiled Statutes.


Figure 13
Rate of reported arrests per 100,000 youth ages 10-16, by county classification, 2000-2005



Source: Illinois State Police

Sixty-one percent of youth arrested in 2005 were identified as black and 38 percent were identified as white. Hispanic youth arrested in 2005 could appear in any race category, depending on their specific ethnic background and the reporting practices of local law enforcement. Most youth arrestees were 15 or 16 years old (29 percent and 37 percent respectively). Most arrestees are also male (79 percent).

Map 1 compares county rate changes in youth arrests from 2000 to 2005.



Map 1
Percent change in rate of youth arrested in Illinois, 2000-2005

Source: Computerized Criminal History System

## **Appendix H: Data tables section**

The following data tables include county-level detail for several dozen juvenile justice data elements. When available, some data elements were also broken down by demographics, such as age, race, and gender. Data is provided by calendar year, academic year, or Fiscal Year, depending upon the reporting agency. Whenever possible, both 2000 and 2005 data were included.

Many caveats have been mentioned throughout this report regarding the interpretation of the following data. The bullet points below describe additional issues that should be considered when reviewing the data tables.

- If there is a blank space where data should be, then data were not available.
- When zero (0) is listed for a particular data element, there are two interpretations
  - o There were zero instances of that particular event occurring.
  - o Zero instances of that particular event were reported.

For instance: A zero appears for Greene County in the youth arrests table. This could be interpreted as Greene County not having any youth arrests for 2005, or that Greene County did not report any youth arrests to the Illinois State Police, but actually did arrest juveniles.

Whenever possible, rates were calculated by using the population most appropriate to the data element. For example, youth incarceration rates were calculated using the youth population 13-16 since a youth under the age of 13 cannot be incarcerated in an Illinois Youth Center, and youth 17 or older are considered adults in Illinois.

## List of tables

Table 22: Number of youth arrests by offense category, CY05	161
Table 23: Number of youth arrests by race, CY05	163
Table 24: Number of youth arrests by sex, CY05	165
Table 25: Number of youth arrests by age, CY05	167

Table 22: Number of youth arrests by offense category, CY05 Source: Computerized Criminal History System

County	Violent Person	Property	Sex	Drug	Status	Weapons	Other	Total
Adams	27	36	2	15	2	1	7	90
Alexander	4	14	0	1	0	0	3	22
Bond	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	6
Boone	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	5
Brown	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Bureau	6	14	0	3	3	0	2	28
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Carroll	2	9	0	8	11	0	5	35
Cass	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Champaign	234	213	7	23	11	2	78	568
Christian	5	15	1	3	12	0	6	42
Clark	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	7
Clay	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	7
Clinton	3	17	1	2	17	0	2	42
Coles	18	44	2	3	8	0	11	86
Cook	11,005	10,804	214	5,654	62	639	5,866	34,244
Crawford	0	10,604	0	0	0	039	0	1
Cumberland	1	3	0	2		0	1	12
	54	92	1	23	5 21	0	66	257
DeKalb								
DeWitt	13	6	0	6	1	0	0	26
Douglas	2	2	0	2	1	0	2	9
DuPage	275	369	9	139	47	9	298	1,146
Edgar	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Edwards	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	5
Effingham	17	32	2	12	10	0	7	80
Fayette	5	11	0	5	7	0	7	35
Ford			•	Zero (0) Arres				
Franklin	3	7	1	0	0	0	0	11
Fulton	21	21	0	7	8	0	13	70
Gallatin	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Greene			Reported	Zero (0) Arres	sts to CCH			
Grundy	19	29	0	12	5	0	11	76
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Hancock	2	8	1	0	5	0	3	19
Hardin	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
Henderson	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	8
Henry	5	11	1	5	9	0	2	33
Iroquois	2	8	0	2	0	0	5	17
Jackson	4	4	0	0	0	0	5	13
Jasper	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson	35	71	0	23	8	1	87	225
Jersey	5	3	0	3	12	0	2	25
JoDaviess	0	1	0	1	12	0	1	15
Johnson	2	12	0	2	1	0	3	20
Kane	350	483	10	198	27	55	347	1,470
Kankakee	92	238	5	25	8	9	64	441
Kendall	60	54	5	18	20	6	68	231
Knox	50	42	4	8	1	1	9	115
Lake	301	451	28	112	43	25	501	1,461
Lant								
LaSalle	66	68	8	24	17	3	31	217

Table 22: Number of youth arrests by offense category, CY05

County	Violent Person	Property	Sex	Drug	Status	Weapons	Other	Total
Lee	39	91	3	30	67	3	34	267
Livingston	16	47	4	9	31	1	59	167
Logan	12	11	0	1	2	0	8	34
McDonough	19	43	3	10	17	0	12	104
McHenry	165	307	10	118	27	3	115	745
McLean	115	217	5	27	21	1	51	437
Macon	60	93	9	13	0	3	14	192
Macoupin	10	13	0	3	2	1	7	36
Madison	139	168	4	32	6	2	53	404
Marion	23	44	2	13	7	0	10	99
Marshall	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	5
Mason	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	6
Massac	2	6	0	2	2	0	0	12
Menard	7	0	0	2	16	0	0	25
Mercer	7	15	0	6	2	0	3	33
Monroe	4	11	0	5	15	0	7	42
Montgomery	6	33	0	9	2	0	3	53
Morgan	49	42	2	11	19	3	33	159
Moultrie	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	8
Ogle	23	75	1	16	11	1	20	147
Peoria	69	59	8	11	0	6	24	177
Perry	0	10	1	1	1	0	2	15
Piatt	7	9	2	0	0	2	5	25
Pike	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	5
	<u>'</u>	ı			_	U	ı	3
Pope	0	1 1		Data Unavailab		0		4
Pulaski	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	4
Putnam	1	6	1	0	1	0	1	10
Randolph	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Richland	3	14	1	0	0	0	2	20
Rock Island	77	166	12	7	1	2	16	281
St. Clair	54	209	5	11	15	4	81	379
Saline	16	6	1	1	0	0	2	26
Sangamon	230	245	6	44	10	9	47	591
Schuyler	3	1	1	4	3	0	5	17
Scott				Zero (0) Arres				
Shelby	0	2	0	4	7	0	5	18
Stark	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	8
Stephenson	28	22	1	6	0	1	19	77
Tazewell	67	153	1	18	0	1	39	279
Union	11	5	0	2	0	0	7	25
Vermilion	39	58	1	7	6	2	24	137
Wabash	6	18	0	7	5	1	1	38
Warren	19	23	1	1	0	0	2	46
Washington	5	6	0	1	10	0	0	22
Wayne	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
White	0	0	0	1	8	0	2	11
Whiteside	51	40	0	16	36	2	25	170
Will	281	346	17	85	8	38	395	1,170
Williamson	27	46	0	14	0	2	19	108
Winnebago	616	753	23	178	7	41	350	1,968
Woodford	8	16	3	9	2	0	3	41
Total	15,026	16,654	433	7,086	774	880	9,033	49,886

**Table 23: Number of youth arrests by race, CY05** Source: Computerized Criminal History System Age 10-16

County	White	Black	Asian	American Indian	Unknown	Total
Adams	67	21	2	0	0	90
Alexander	1	21	0	0	0	22
Bond	6	0	0	0	0	6
Boone	5	0	0	0	0	5
Brown	2	0	0	0	0	2
Bureau	26	2	0	0	0	28
Calhoun	2	0	0	0	0	2
Carroll	33	1	0	0	1	35
Cass	2	1	0	0	0	3
Champaign	188	377	3	0	0	568
Christian	42	0	0	0	0	42
Clark	7	0	0	0	0	7
Clay	6	0	0	0	1	7
Clinton	39	3	0	0	0	42
Coles	83	2	0	0	1	86
Cook	8,776	25,269	155	9	35	34,244
Crawford	1	0	0	0	0	3 <del>4,244</del>
Cumberland	12	0	0	0	0	12
DeKalb	205	45	0	0	7	257
DeWitt	22	1	0	0	3	26
	9	0	0	-	0	9
Douglas	847	260	-	0	7	-
DuPage	2	0	29	3	0	1,146
Edgar	5		0	0		2
Edwards		0	0	0	0	5
Effingham	79	0	0	0	1	80
Fayette	35	0	0	0	0	35
Ford			rted Zero (0) Arre	1		
Franklin	10	0	0	0	1	11
Fulton	68	1	0	1	0	70
Gallatin	1	0	0	0	0	1
Greene			rted Zero (0) Arre			
Grundy	70	4	0	0	2	76
Hamilton	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hancock	19	0	0	0	0	19
Hardin	3	0	0	0	0	3
Henderson	8	0	0	0	0	8
Henry	29	4	0	0	0	33
Iroquois	14	3	0	0	0	17
Jackson	6	7	0	0	0	13
Jasper	1	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson	128	96	0	0	1	225
Jersey	25	0	0	0	0	25
JoDaviess	15	0	0	0	0	15
Johnson	20	0	0	0	0	20
Kane	993	462	8	0	7	1,470
Kankakee	205	225	0	0	11	441
Kendall	201	29	1	0	0	231
Knox	67	48	0	0	0	115
Lake	1,049	393	7	0	12	1,461
LaSalle	192	22	1	0	2	217
Lawrence	5	0	0	0	0	5
Lee	246	16	0	0	5	267

Table 23: Number of youth arrests by race, CY05

County	White	Black	Asian	American Indian	Unknown	Total
Livingston	134	30	0	0	3	167
Logan	33	1	0	0	0	34
McDonough	102	2	0	0	0	104
McHenry	700	38	2	2	3	745
McLean	224	208	1	0	4	437
Macon	46	142	0	0	4	192
Macoupin	36	0	0	0	0	36
Madison	247	153	1	0	3	404
Marion	73	26	0	0	0	99
Marshall	5	0	0	0	0	5
Mason	6	0	0	0	0	6
Massac	9	3	0	0	0	12
Menard	24	0	0	0	1	25
Mercer	30	1	0	0	2	33
Monroe	39	1	0	0	2	42
Montgomery	53	0	0	0	0	53
Morgan	108	50	0	0	1	159
Moultrie	8	0	0	0	0	8
Ogle	147	0	0	0	0	147
Peoria	52	124	0	0	1	177
	14	1		0	0	15
Perry	25	0	0	-	0	
Piatt	4		0	0		25
Pike	4	0	0	0	1	5
Pope			Data Unavaila			
Pulaski	4	0	0	0	0	4
Putnam	10	0	0	0	0	10
Randolph	1	0	0	0	0	11
Richland	20	0	0	0	0	20
Rock Island	172	108	0	0	1	281
St. Clair	105	274	0	0	0	379
Saline	26	0	0	0	0	26
Sangamon	265	325	0	0	1	591
Schuyler	17	0	0	0	0	17
Scott		Repo	rted Zero (0) Arre	ests to CCH		
Shelby	18	0	0	0	0	18
Stark	8	0	0	0	0	8
Stephenson	20	56	0	0	1	77
Tazewell	246	32	0	0	1	279
Union	23	2	0	0	0	25
Vermilion	64	73	0	0	0	137
Wabash	37	0	0	0	1	38
Warren	40	6	0	0	0	46
Washington	22	0	0	0	0	22
Wayne	2	0	0	0	0	2
White	11	0	0	0	0	11
Whiteside	151	14	0	0	5	170
Will	604	549	2	0	15	1,170
Williamson	88	20	0	0	0	108
Winnebago	1,095	844	9	1	19	1,968
Woodford	38	3	0	0	0	41
Total	19,084	30,399	221	16	166	49,886

**Table 24: Number of youth arrests by sex, CY05** Source: Computerized Criminal History System Age 10-16

County	Male	Percent Male	Female	Percent Female	Unknown	Total
Adams	65	72.22%	25	27.78%	0	90
Alexander	16	72.73%	6	27.27%	0	22
Bond	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	6
Boone	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	5
Brown	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	2
Bureau	21	75.00%	7	25.00%	0	28
Calhoun	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
Carroll	29	82.86%	6	17.14%	0	35
Cass	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	3
Champaign	435	76.58%	133	23.42%	0	568
Christian	32	76.19%	10	23.81%	0	42
Clark	6	85.71%	1	14.29%	0	7
Clay	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	7
Clinton	37	88.10%	5	11.90%	0	42
Coles	66	76.74%	20	23.26%	0	86
Cook	28,063	81.95%	6,176	18.04%	5	34,244
Crawford	20,003	100.00%	0,170	0.00%	0	1
Cumberland	10	83.33%	2	16.67%	0	12
DeKalb	171	66.54%	<u>2</u> 85	33.07%	1	257
	26					
DeWitt	7	100.00%	2	0.00%	0	26
Douglas		77.78%	303	22.22%	0	9
DuPage	843	73.56%		26.44%	0	1,146
Edgar	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
Edwards	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	5
Effingham	59	73.75%	21	26.25%	0	80
Fayette	27	77.14%	8	22.86%	0	35
Ford			rted Zero (0) Arres			
Franklin	9	81.82%	1	9.09%	1	11
Fulton	46	65.71%	24	34.29%	0	70
Gallatin	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
Greene			rted Zero (0) Arres			
Grundy	53	69.74%	23	30.26%	0	76
Hamilton	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
Hancock	16	84.21%	3	15.79%	0	19
Hardin	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	3
Henderson	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	8
Henry	28	84.85%	5	15.15%	0	33
Iroquois	14	82.35%	3	17.65%	0	17
Jackson	9	69.23%	4	30.77%	0	13
Jasper	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
Jefferson	164	72.89%	61	27.11%	0	225
Jersey	18	72.00%	7	28.00%	0	25
JoDaviess	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	15
Johnson	13	65.00%	7	35.00%	0	20
Kane	1,117	75.99%	353	24.01%	0	1,470
Kankakee	305	69.16%	132	29.93%	4	441
Kendall	170	73.59%	61	26.41%	0	231
Knox	90	78.26%	25	21.74%	0	115
Lake	1,154	78.99%	307	21.01%	0	1,461
LaSalle	171	78.80%	46	21.20%	0	217
Lawrence	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	5
Lee	185	69.29%	82	30.71%	0	267

Table 24: Number of youth arrests by sex, CY05

County	Male	% Male	Female	% Female	Unknown	Total
Livingston	112	67.07%	55	32.93%	0	167
Logan	19	55.88%	15	44.12%	0	34
McDonough	85	81.73%	19	18.27%	0	104
McHenry	546	73.29%	199	26.71%	0	745
McLean	281	64.30%	155	35.47%	1	437
Macon	147	76.56%	43	22.40%	2	192
Macoupin	32	88.89%	4	11.11%	0	36
Madison	278	68.81%	126	31.19%	0	404
Marion	69	69.70%	30	30.30%	0	99
Marshall	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	5
Mason	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	6
Massac	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	12
Menard	21	84.00%	4	16.00%	0	25
Mercer	24	72.73%	9	27.27%	0	33
Monroe	30	71.43%	11	26.19%	1	42
Montgomery	42	79.25%	11	20.75%	0	53
Morgan	128	80.50%	31	19.50%	0	159
Moultrie	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	8
Ogle	123	83.67%	24	16.33%	0	147
Peoria	147	83.05%	30	16.95%	0	177
Perry	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	15
Piatt	22	88.00%	3	12.00%	0	25
Pike	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	5
Pope	Data Un	available		available	Data Un	available
Pulaski	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	4
Putnam	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	10
Randolph	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
Richland	16	80.00%	3	15.00%	1	20
Rock Island	220	78.29%	61	21.71%	0	281
St. Clair	285	75.20%	94	24.80%	0	379
Saline	23	88.46%	3	11.54%	0	26
Sangamon	422	71.40%	169	28.60%	0	591
Schuyler	11	64.71%	6	35.29%	0	17
Scott			rted Zero (0) Arrests			
Shelby	8	44.44%	10	55.56%	0	18
Stark	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	8
Stephenson	58	75.32%	19	24.68%	0	77
Tazewell	198	70.97%	81	29.03%	0	279
Union	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	25
Vermilion	93	67.88%	44	32.12%	0	137
Wabash	29	76.32%	8	21.05%	1	38
Warren	30	65.22%	16	34.78%	0	46
Washington	16	72.73%	6	27.27%	0	22
Wayne	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
White	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	11
Whiteside	120	70.59%	49	28.82%	1	170
Will	956	81.71%	214	18.29%	0	1,170
Williamson	75	69.44%	33	30.56%	0	108
Winnebago	1,294	65.75%	674	34.25%	0	1,968
Woodford	36	87.80%	5	12.20%	0	41
Total	39,610	79.40%	10,258	20.56%	18	49,886
IUIAI	39,010	79.40%	10,236	20.30%	10	49,000

**Table 25: Number of youth arrests by age, CY05** Source: Computerized Criminal History System Age 10-16

County	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
Adams	0	0	8	4	14	26	38	90
Alexander	1	1	0	5	1	8	6	22
Bond	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	6
Boone	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Bureau	0	0	0	0	6	8	14	28
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Carroll	0	0	1	1	8	10	15	35
Cass	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
Champaign	6	14	30	87	108	158	165	568
Christian	0	0	1	4	11	11	15	42
Clark	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	7
Clay	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	7
Clinton	0	0	1	6	6	7	22	42
Coles	0	1	3	16	20	16	30	86
Cook	239	530	1,447	3,115	6,026	10,195	12,692	34,244
Crawford	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Cumberland	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	12
DeKalb	0	5	12	32	44	85	79	257
DeWitt	0	0	0	2	4	8	12	26
Douglas	0	1	0	0	1	2	5	9
DuPage	3	11	31	93	178	296	534	1,146
Edgar	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Edwards	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5
Effingham	0	1	1	10	15	22	31	80
Fayette	0	0	0	1	2	12	20	35
Ford			Reported	Zero (0) Arres	sts to CCH			
Franklin	0	0	0	0	2	6	3	11
Fulton	2	3	2	0	13	22	28	70
Gallatin	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Greene			Reported	Zero (0) Arres	sts to CCH			
Grundy	0	2	4	4	7	28	31	76
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Hancock	0	0	2	1	4	5	7	19
Hardin	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
Henry	0	0	0	2	1	13	17	33
Iroquois	0	1	2	4	4	2	4	17
Jackson	0	0	1	2	2	3	5	13
Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jefferson	1	6	8	36	33	61	80	225
Jersey	0	0	0	0	1	6	18	25
JoDaviess	0	0	0	1	4	1	9	15
Johnson	0	0	1	0	3	6	10	20
Kane	6	32	70	160	253	422	527	1,470
Kankakee	7	11	22	46	85	120	150	441
Kendall	2	3	6	11	37	78	94	231
Knox	0	2	7	10	15	30	51	115
Lake	4	20	77	146	246	431	537	1,461
LaSalle	0	1	12	24	39	63	78	217
Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5
Lee	1	4	12	28	40	65	117	267

Table 25: Number of youth arrests by age, CY05

County	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
Livingston	1	2	15	16	28	40	65	167
Logan	0	1	1	2	3	14	13	34
McDonough	2	2	3	17	14	32	34	104
McHenry	1	9	25	61	135	224	290	745
McLean	5	8	24	47	78	122	153	437
Macon	4	5	8	25	44	59	47	192
Macoupin	0	1	1	2	4	6	22	36
Madison	5	11	24	46	85	119	114	404
Marion	0	3	6	8	12	41	29	99
Marshall	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	5
Mason	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	6
Massac	0	0	1	4	4	1	2	12
Menard	0	0	0	0	5	8	12	25
Mercer	0	0	0	5	12	5	11	33
Monroe	0	0	0	1	6	21	14	42
Montgomery	1	0	1	4	8	19	20	53
Morgan	2	1	5	14	26	42	69	159
Moultrie	0	0	0	2	1	2	3	8
Ogle	1	3	5	14	33	49	42	147
Peoria	3	5	10	24	36	51	48	177
Perry	0	0	1	0	3	3	8	15
Piatt	0	0	2	3	5	8	7	25
Pike	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	5
Pope	Ū	- U			navailable	_	_	
Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
Putnam	0	0	0	1	2	5	2	10
Randolph	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Richland	0	0	3	1	7	4	5	20
Rock Island	1	11	19	41	55	76	78	281
St. Clair	2	7	23	41	56	120	130	379
Saline	0	0	0	2	9	3	12	26
Sangamon	1	18	27	74	123	163	185	591
Schuyler	0	0	2	0	2	6	7	17
Scott	5	J J		Zero (0) Arres			<u>'</u>	17
Shelby	0	0	0	0	2	1	15	18
Stark	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	8
Stephenson	3	1	4	16	12	21	20	77
Tazewell	0	5	21	36	43	86	88	279
Union	0	1	3	1	2	9	9	25
Vermilion	0	0	4	18	29	40	46	137
Wabash	0	0	5	2	4	8	19	38
Warren	1	1	0	2	12	17	13	46
Washington	0	0	0	0	3	10	9	22
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
White	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	11
Whiteside	2	1	5	8	34	48	72	170
Will	8	21	54	120	225	331	411	1,170
Williamson	1	1	6	11	223	23	44	108
Winnebago	22	81	149	278	410	481	547	1,968
Woodford	0	0	149	1	6	9	24	41
TTOOUIOIG	J	U	1	1	1	1	47	, <del>,</del> ,